



A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION  
1717 ARCH STREET  
46<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA  
19103-2713  
PHONE: 215.568.4104  
FAX: 215.568.4105  
[www.fblaw.com](http://www.fblaw.com)

DAVID M. FUNK  
BRYAN D. BOLTON ▲  
REN L. TUNDERMANN  
CHARLES D. MACLEOD  
TIFFANY HANNA ANDERSON  
DARYN RUSH\*  
STEPHEN Z. MEEHAN  
DEREK B. YARMIS\*  
JEFFERSON L. BLOMQUIST  
J. DANIEL FARRELL\*  
LINDSEY A. RADER  
JAMES F. TAYLOR  
MICHAEL P. CUNNINGHAM\*  
MICHAEL R. MCCANN  
HUGH M. BERNSTEIN  
CHERYL A. C. BROWN

SENIOR COUNSEL  
PETER C. ISMAY\*

ASSOCIATES  
AMY L. STRACHAN\*  
HISHAM M. AMIN  
THOMAS KLEMM\*  
TAMAL A. BANTON  
DESIRÉE S. WILLIAMS+  
SEIGRID T. RICH  
MARYAM ZAFAR  
M. DAVID MALONEY\*  
PATRICK W. THOMAS  
SALEEL V. SABNIS \*  
NICOLE M. SANDUSKY

OF COUNSEL  
STEPHEN P. CARNEY  
AMANDA STAKEM CONN  
ERNEST A. CROFOOT  
CHRISTOPHER E. DUNNE ✕  
GARY C. HARRIGER  
DONNA B. IMHOFF  
DEBORAH R. RIVKIN  
RONALD L. SOUDERS▲  
JOHN R. STIERHOFF  
GERALD I. H. STREET▼  
JOSEPH B. TETRAULT  
PAULINE K. WHITE

ADMITTED IN MARYLAND EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE NOTED  
▲ ALSO ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA  
\* ALSO ADMITTED IN DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
• ALSO ADMITTED IN VIRGINIA  
+ ALSO ADMITTED IN ILLINOIS  
✕ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA, NEW YORK AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
◊ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW JERSEY ONLY  
▲ ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ONLY  
• ADMITTED IN PENNSYLVANIA AND KENTUCKY ONLY  
▼ ADMITTED IN DELAWARE ONLY

REINSURANCE LAW BULLETIN

**Re: Party Forfeited Right To Appoint Arbitrator For Failure to Comply With Thirty-Day Time Limit In Arbitration Agreement**

An arbitration provision in a reinsurance agreement required a party to appoint an arbitrator within “thirty days” from receipt of a request from the opposing party. When the thirtieth day after such a request fell on the Sunday before Labor Day, the party deferred naming the arbitrator until the thirty-second calendar day. The opposing party asserted the appointment was untimely and, therefore, claimed the right to appoint a second arbitrator.

To determine the rights of the parties, a declaratory judgment action was filed in the United States District Court for Northern District of Illinois. The Court, applying California and Federal law, concluded the arbitration agreement language “within thirty days” means within thirty calendar days. The Court viewed any other construction, such as excluding holidays and non-working days, as producing unnecessary uncertainty and the possibility for greater disagreement. Indeed, such a construction would be particularly problematic if, for example, the parties were from different countries observing different holidays and/or work weeks.

Although missing the deadline may not have been prejudicial, the Court refused to rewrite the terms of the agreement. According to the Court, these sophisticated parties could easily have negotiated a provision accounting for holidays and work days, but neglected to do so.

For further information or a copy of the decision, please contact Daryn Rush at 215.399.5773 or [drush@fblaw.com](mailto:drush@fblaw.com). Please visit our website at [www.fblaw.com](http://www.fblaw.com).